



First survey of aquatic macroinvertebrates diversity in Kodjoboué lake (South-East of Côte d'Ivoire)

Mathieu Kobenan KRA^{1*}, Idrissa Adama Camara², Edia Oi Edia³, Mexmin Koffi Konan⁴, Allassane Ouattara⁵,
Dramane Diomande⁶

¹⁻⁶Laboratoire d'Environnement et de Biologie Aquatique (LEBA), UFR-Sciences et Gestion de l'Environnement (SGE),
Universite Nangui Abrogoua (UNA), 02 BP 801, Abidjan 02, Côte d'Ivoire

Abstract

This study aims to provide basic data of aquatic macroinvertebrates in Kodjoboué lake (South-East of Côte d'Ivoire) for their potential use for water quality assessment. Four sites (K1-K4) were sampled during eight campaigns from June 2014 to May 2017. At each site macroinvertebrates were collected using a kick-net (25 x 20 cm, 500 µm mesh size). Environmental variables were recorded also. Water was collected from each sampling site for nutrient (phosphorus, nitrate) analysis in laboratory.

In total 74 taxa have been inventoried and subdivided into 4 classes (Malacostraca, Arachnida, Insecta, and Gasteropoda). The most diversified class was Insecta with 91.89% of the Taxa inventoried. Among the insects found, the most diverse order was the order of Coleoptera (19 taxa, 8 families) followed Diptera (15 taxa, 7 families) Heteroptera (13 taxa, 8 families) and Ephemeroptera (7 taxa, 5 families). The taxa identified as constant were *Hydrachna* sp., Ephemeroptera (*Baetis* sp., *Cloeon* sp.), Odonates (*Pseudagrion* sp.), Heteroptera (*Diplonchus* sp., *Eurymetra* sp.), Coleoptera (*Pseudobagous Longulus*, *Hydrovatus* sp., *Limnius* sp.), Chironomidae (*Polypedium deletum*, *Dixa* sp.). Taxa distribution was strongly influenced by environmental variables such as nitrate, phosphorus and temperature. Kodjoboué lake was less disturbed.

Keywords: Kodjoboué lake, aquatic macroinvertebrates, taxonomic diversity, Côte d'Ivoire

1. Introduction

Waterbodies play essential roles in the conservation of biodiversity, in the functioning of organisms and in the cycle of organic matter (Haouchine, 2011) [22]. Faunistic and ecological studies are of paramount importance in the understanding of the functioning and the management of natural systems on the one hand and on the other hand in the assessment of the ecological health status of hydrosystems (Haouchine, 2011) [22]. With the increasing adverse effects of pollution, it becomes important to be concerned about the health of aquatic ecosystems. In this context, aquatic macroinvertebrates are increasingly used to assess the health status of aquatic ecosystems. Indeed, these organisms are good bioindicators because of their sedentariness, their great diversity and their variable tolerance to pollution and habitat degradation (Moretti & Callisto, 2005) [30]. They reflect particularly well the ecological state of surface waters by reacting very quickly to changes in their environment (Ben Moussa *et al.*, 2014) [1]. They serve as food for many aquatic organisms and contribute to the functioning of the lake ecosystem (Hershey *et al.*, 2006) [24].

In Côte d'Ivoire, numerous studies have been done on aquatic macroinvertebrates of some rivers (Edia *et al.*, 2007; Diomandé *et al.*, 2009; Camara *et al.*, 2012; Camara *et al.*, 2014; Kra *et al.*, 2018) [18, 16, 5, 6, 27]. Studies on Ivorian's lakes concern phytophilic macroinvertebrates of freshwaters in Ayamé lake II, Ayamé lake I and Taabo lake (Sankaré 1991; Kouamé *et al.*, 2010; Kouamé *et al.*, 2011; Diomandé *et al.*, 2013) [32, 26, 25, 16].

Kodjoboué Lake, our study area, is contiguous to the Grand-

Bassam wetland, the largest in Côte d'Ivoire for its ecological and socio-economic role (Yaokokoré-Béibro *et al.*, 2005) [37]. However, it is subject to several types of anthropogenic disturbances that threaten the aquatic species that live there, thus increasing the loss of biodiversity of aquatic ecosystems. In addition, no preliminary study has been done on the macroinvertebrates of this lake to determine the state of the quality of these waters. However, knowledge of biodiversity is the first step in a process of preservation and / or restoration of ecosystems (Heino *et al.*, 2005) [23]. In order to fill this lack of basic knowledge on the biodiversity of this lake, our investigations focused on the study of aquatic macroinvertebrates of Kodjoboué lake. In addition, knowledge of this community is a basic element of the ecosystem approach to managing this lake.

This study aimed to: i) determine the physicochemical characteristics of Kodjoboué lake, ii) then qualitatively evaluate the aquatic macroinvertebrates of this lake and finally iii) establish the relationships between the environmental variables and the inventoried macroinvertebrates.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Study area and Sampling sites

Kodjoboué lake, known as the Kodjoboué "lagoon", is located in southeastern Côte d'Ivoire in the South-Comoé region about 4 km south of the town of Bonoua between longitudes 3°35' 9 "W and latitudes 5°14'11" N. This area is contiguous to the Grand-Bassam wetland and covers an area of 3,404 Km². Kodjoboué lake communicates with the Comoé river by a thin stream of water. This region has an average altitude of 90 m,

its climate is subequatorial with an average annual temperature ranged between 25 °C to 33 °C. The rainfall varies from 1400 to 2500 mm/year and an annual rate of humidity about 80 to 90 % (Halle & Bruzon, 2006) [21]. This climate is characterized by four seasons: two dry seasons (mid-July to mid-September and December to March) and two

rainy seasons (April to mid-July and mid-September to mid-November) (Brou, 1997) [4]. Four sampling sites were selected in Kodjoboué lake (K1 to K4) (Figure 1). These sites were sampled during eight sampling campaigns from June 2014 to May 2017. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of these sampling sites.




Fig 1: Location of the study area showing the four sampling sites of Kodjoboué lake (South-East of Côte d’Ivoire)

Table 1: Characteristics of the four study sampling sites in Kodjoboué lake (South-East of Côte d’Ivoire)

Sampling Sites	Geographical positions (UTM)		Land use	Substratum (%)	Canopy (%)
	X	Y			
K1	429117	580611	Rubber tree plantations	Sand (35%) Plant debris (40%) Mud (25%)	70
K2	436617	579119	Marsh land dominated by raffia,	Mud (95%) Sand (5%)	0
K3	435800	579202	Marshy forest dominated by raffia		0
K4	435017	579456	Marshy forest dominated by raffia, housing		0

2.2 Data collection

Aquatic macroinvertebrates of the native vegetation, covering the banks of the lake were sampled at the sites during the eight sampling campaigns with a kick-net (25 x 20 cm, 500 µm mesh size). At each site 5 net strokes are sampled. A net stroke consists of pulling the net over a distance approximately 1 m. The initial net is followed by two round trips on the surface to capture the dislodged organisms. Sampling was done by the same operator with a uniform sampling effort at all sites. Samples were fixed on 70 % ethanol and stored in labeled polyethylene flasks.

In the laboratory, specimens were sorted and identified to the

lowest possible taxonomic level using a stereomicroscope Olympus SZ (40× magnification) and a series of identification keys (Dejoux *et al.*, 1981; Day *et al.*, 2001; Day *et al.*, 2003; de Moor *et al.*, 2003a; de Moor *et al.*, 2003b; Stals et de Moor, 2007; Bony, 2007 & Tachet *et al.*, 2010) [9, 10, 11, 14, 33, 25]. In addition, temperature, pH, conductivity and dissolved oxygen were determined directly at the same sampling site with a portable multi-parameter (HANNA), water transparency was determined with a Secchi disc. Water was also collected with bottle of 1L at each sampling site for nutrients (phosphorus and nitrate) analysis in the laboratory using Rodier methods (Rodier *et al.*, 2009) [31].

2.3 Data analysis

In order to assess the structure of macroinvertebrate communities, Shannon-Weaver diversity index and Pielou evenness index were calculated. Taxonomic richness was rarefied in each site per sampling period. Precisely, rarefied richness was used to avoid any bias related to differences in abundances between samples (Grall et Coïc, 2005) [20]. The Sorensen similarity index was used to evaluate the similarity of macroinvertebrate communities between sites.

Before performing comparison analyses, data normality was checked using Shapiro test. Given the biotic and environment data distribution follow non-normal distribution ($P < 0.05$), the non parametric test of Kruskal-Wallis was performed to compare data between sampling sites. When Kruskal-Wallis test is significant, Mann-Whitney test was used for pairwise comparison. The significance threshold was $p = 0.05$. Analyses were conducted using the R package. The occurrence percentage (FO) was calculated using the following formula: $FO = (Ni/Nts) \times 100$, with Ni = number of samples containing a given species i , and Nts = total number of samples collected. The FO was used to classify species following (Dajoz, 2000) [7]: $FO > 50$: very frequent species; $25 < FO \leq 50$: frequent species; $FO \leq 25$: rare species.

A Monte Carlo permutation test was performed to assess the statistical significance of the environment variables and the full model to arrive at the significance of the first two axes. Multiple Regression step by step was used to determine the environment variables that significantly influence the distribution of macroinvertebrates. This analysis is performed on a continuous dependent variable according to continuous predictor. The step-by-step method is based on the ascending selection of predictors (environment variables in our case).

For a given taxon, the inclusion coefficient F is calculated for each variable. The inclusion F value determines whether the contribution of a variable to the regression must be significant to be added to the equation (Younger, 1985; Stevens, 1986; Darlington, 1990) [38, 35, 8]. The influence of the predictors on the taxa considered is significant when the value of p associated with the coefficient F is less than or equal to 0.05. The magnitude of the Beta coefficients makes it possible to compare the relative contribution of each independent variable in the prediction of the dependent variable. The values of t and p give a good indication of the impact of the predictor variable on the dependent variable. A high absolute value of t and a low value of p suggest that the parameter in question has a strong impact on macroinvertebrates densities. This test was performed with the Statistica 7.1 software (Statsoft, 2005) [34].

3. Results

3.1 Environment variables

The table 2 shows the variations of environmental variables measured in the four studied sites.

Temperature ranged from 25.9°C to 31.9°C (K1). Conductivity varied between 14 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ and 37.1 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ (K1). The dissolved oxygen variation was situated between 0.1 mg/L and 11.3 mg/L (K1). The water transparency of sites values varied from 10 cm to 218 cm (K1). Concerning the pH, it varied from 5.19 (K1) to 8.01 (K4). Regarding the Phosphorus and nitrate, their values were low, varied from 0.015 mg/L (K2) to 0.31 mg/L (K3) and from 0.1 mg/L (K2 and K4) to 2.65 mg/L (K2 and K3) respectively. Analysis showed no significant differences of these parameters between sites (Kruskal-Wallis, $p > 0.05$).

Table 2: Environmental variables measured at four sampling sites of Kodjoboué lake (South-East of Côte d'Ivoire).

Parameters	K1	K2	K3	K4
Temperature (°C)	28.19 (25.9-31.9)	29.2 (27-32)	29.1 (27.2-31.6)	29.2 (27.2-31.3)
Conductivity ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$)	29.3 (14-37.1)	22.5 (19-36)	21.94 (20-28.1)	22.6(21-27.2)
Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	1.50 (0.1-11.3)	6.4 (0.17-9.5)	6.31 (0.15-9.36)	6.26 (0.14-8.11)
Transparency (cm)	113 (10-218)	99.5 (50-125)	110 (51-190)	98 (73-124)
pH	6.4 (5.19-7.5)	6.48 (06-7.69)	6.6 3(5.3-7.66)	6.50 (6-8.01)
Phosphorus (mg/L)	0.078 (0.039-0.13)	0.07 (0.015-0.22)	0.059 (0.016-0.31)	0.08 (0.017-0.18)
Nitrate (mg/L)	1.22 (0.2-2.21)	0.83 (0.1-2.65)	0.64 (0.2-2.65)	0.70 (0.1-1.77)

Note: values are median (minimum and maximum are in parentheses).

3.2 Composition and distribution of macroinvertebrates

During this study, 74 taxa of aquatic macroinvertebrates belonging to 37 Families, 11 Orders and 04 Classes. The most representative group were Insecta (68 taxa), followed by Malacostraca (3 taxa) and Gasteropoda with 2 taxa (Table 4). Coleoptera was the most diversified order representing 19 taxa, followed by Diptera (15 taxa), Heteroptera (13 taxa), Odonata (10 taxa) and Ephemeroptera (07 taxa). Libellulidae (Odonata) and Chironomidae (Diptera) were the most represented family (12.32 % of the taxa each), followed by Dytiscidae (Coleoptera) with 8.21 % of taxa.

3.3 Diversity indices

The Shannon-Weaver index revealed that most of the study

sites presented high diversity values. The lowest diversity value was recorded at K4 (0.84), whereas the highest value was observed at K3 (2.41).

The Pielou's Evenness Index evolution is similar to Shannon-Weaver index with the lowest value in site K4 (0.43) and the highest at K3 (0.98).

Concerning the rarefied richness, it varied from 2.47 to 16.79 with the lowest in site K4. The highest values of rarefied richness correspond to site K3.

The rarefied richness was no significant differences ($p > 0.05$) between sites, as evidenced by the Mann-Whitney (Figure 2).

The Sorensen similarity index varies from 32.06% (K1-K2) to 42.86% (K2-K4), it showed that the sites are relatively similar (Table 3).




Fig 2: Box-plots showing variation of Shannon-Weaver index (A), Pielou's Evenness index (B) and Rarefied taxonomic richness (C) of Kodjouboué lake (K1-K4). Different letters (a and b) on box-plots denote significant differences between them (Mann-Whitney, $p < 0.05$)

Table 3: Values of Sorensen similarity index between the four sampling sites (K1-K4) of Kodjouboué lake (South-East of Côte d'Ivoire)

	K1	K2	K3	K4
K1		32.06	37.33	36.36
K2			34.95	42.86
K3				41.02
K4				

3.4 Frequency of occurrence

Table 5 shows the percentages of the very common, frequent and rare taxa at the six sites. The percentages of very common taxa vary between 06.06 % to 12.28 %. Those of frequent taxa varied between 24.24 % to 40.35 %. Regarding rare taxa, they are the most numerous at all sites with percentages ranging

from 47.37% to 69.69%.

Eleven taxa were common to the four sites (*Hydrachna* sp., *Baetis* sp., *Cloeon* sp., *Pseudagrion* sp., *Diplonychus* sp., *Eurymetra* sp., *Pseudobagous Longulus*, *Hydrovatus* sp., *Limnius* sp., sp., *Polypedilum deletum*, *Dixa* sp.). These taxa had a high occurrence ($FO \geq 50$).

Table 4: List of the macroinvertebrates taxa found in the four sites of Kodjouboué (South-East of Côte d'Ivoire).

Class	Orders	Famillies	Taxa	Sampling sites				
				K1	K2	K3	K4	
Malacostraca	Amphipoda	Gammaridae	<i>Gammarus</i> sp.			*		
	Decapoda	Palaemonidae	<i>Macrobrachium vollenovenii</i>	**				
Arachnida	Trombidiformes	Hydrachinidae	<i>Macrobrachium</i> sp.	**				
			<i>Hydrachna</i> sp.	*	*	*	**	
Insecta	Ephéméroptera	Baetidae	<i>Baetis</i> sp.	**	**	*	*	
			<i>Cloeon</i> sp.	***	**	*	**	
			<i>Procleon</i> sp.	*				
		Caenidae	<i>Caenis</i> sp.		**			
		Ephemeridae	<i>Eatonia</i> sp.			*		
		Polymitarcyidae	<i>Povilla adusta</i>		**		*	
	Odonata	Libellulibidae	Tricorythidae	<i>Tricorythys</i> sp.	*			
			Coenagrionidae	<i>Ceriagrion</i> sp.	**	*	*	*
			<i>Pseudagrion</i> sp.	*	*	***	***	
			<i>Bradinopygas</i> sp.	**	*			
			<i>Chalcostephia</i> sp.	*				
			<i>Crocothemis</i> sp.	**		**	*	
			<i>Libellula</i> sp.		*			
			<i>Macrodiplax cora</i>	**	*	**	*	
			<i>Pantala flavescens</i>	*		*	*	
<i>Trithemis dorsalis</i>	**		*	*				
<i>Urothemis</i> sp.			*					
<i>Zygonyx torridae</i>	**							

	Heteroptera	Belostomatidae	<i>Diplonychus</i> sp.	***	***	***	**
		Gerridae	<i>Eurymetra</i> sp.	**	*	**	**
			<i>Limnogonus</i> sp.	**			
			<i>Rhagadotarsus</i> sp.	**			*
			<i>Hydrometra</i> sp.			*	
		Hydrometridae	<i>Laccocoris</i> sp.	**		*	*
		Naucoridae	<i>Naucoris</i> sp.	*	**	**	*
		Nepidae	<i>Ranatra linearis</i>		**		*
		Notonectidae	<i>Anisops</i> sp.			*	
			<i>Notonecta</i> sp.		*	*	
	Pleidae	<i>Plea</i> sp.	*		*		
	Veliidae	<i>Microvelia</i> sp.		*	**	**	
		<i>Rhagovelia</i> sp.	*	*		*	
	Trichoptera	Ecnomidae	<i>Ecnomus</i> sp.		*	**	*
		Hydropsychidae	<i>Polymorphanus</i> sp.		*		**
			<i>Cheumatopsyche</i> sp.				*
	Insecta	Coleoptera	Curculionidae	<i>Pseudobagous Longulus</i>	***	**	**
<i>Notaris</i> sp.				*			
Dytiscidae			<i>Agabus</i> sp.	**			
			<i>Bidessus</i> sp.	**			
			<i>Hydaticus</i> sp.	*	**	**	*
			<i>Hydrovatus</i> sp.	***	**	**	*
			<i>Hyphyrus</i>	*		*	*
			<i>Laccophilus</i> sp.	*	*		*
Elmidae			<i>Esolus</i> sp.	*			
			<i>Limnius</i> sp.	**	*	*	*
			<i>Potamodytes</i> sp.	**			
Gyrinidae			<i>Dineutus</i> sp.	*		*	
			<i>Orectogyrus</i> sp.	*	*		
Haliplidae			<i>Haliplus</i> sp.	*			
Hydrochidae		<i>Hydrochus</i> sp.	*				
Hydrophilidae		<i>Amphiops</i> sp.	*		**		
		<i>Enochrus</i> sp.	***		**	*	
		<i>Hydrobius</i> sp.	*		**		
Noreridae		<i>Noterus</i> sp.	*				
Diptera		Chaoboridae	<i>Mochlonyx</i> sp.	*			
		Ceratopogonidae	<i>Culicoides</i> sp.	*	*	*	
		Chironomidae	<i>Ablabesmyia</i> sp.	**	*		**
			<i>Chironomus</i> sp.	***		*	
			<i>Cricotopus</i> sp.	**			
			<i>Cryptochironomus</i> sp.	**	**		**
			<i>Polypedilum abyssiniac</i>		*	*	
			<i>Polypedilum deletum</i>	***	***	***	***
			<i>Stenochironomus</i> sp.	*			
			<i>Clinotanypus</i> sp.	*		*	*
		<i>Stictochironomus</i> sp.	**	*			
	Culicidae	<i>Culex</i> sp.	**		**		
	Dixidae	<i>Dixa</i> sp.	**	**	**	*	
	Rhagionidae		*				
	Syphiridae		*				
	Gasteropoda	Basomatophora	Bithyniidae	<i>Gabbiella</i> sp.	*		
Archeogasteropoda		Neritidae	<i>Theodoxus</i> sp.	*			
Taxonomic richness			74	59	32	38	33

*** Very frequent (%OF>50); ** frequent (25<%OF ≤50);* rare (%OF≤25)

Table 5: Proportions of aquatic macroinvertebrates very frequent (***) , frequent (**) and rare (*) at the different sampling sites of the Kodjouboué lake (South-East of Côte d'Ivoire).

Sites	Very frequent (%)	Frequent (%)	Rare (%)
K1	12.28	40.35	47.37
K2	06.46	35.48	58.06
K3	08.34	38.89	52.77
K4	06.06	24.24	69.69

3.5 Correlation between macroinvertebrate community and environment variables

The result of Multiple Regression step by step indicates that in Kodjouboué lake, only the presence of three taxa was significantly associated with environmental variables (Table

6). Nitrate concentration is negatively correlated with the presence of *Gammarus* sp. Phosphorus is positively correlated with the presence of *Urothemis* sp. Concerning *Cryptochironomus* sp., Its presence is related to the temperature variation.

Table 6: Multiple regression step by step relating environmental variables to the presence of taxa in Kodjouboué Lake (R^2 = coefficient of determination, F = inclusion coefficient, t = coefficient of regression, p = probability).

Taxa	Environmental variables	t	R ²	F	P
<i>Gammarus</i> sp.	Nitrate	-2.072	0.251	F (2.29) = 4.873	0.014
<i>Urothemis</i> sp.	Phosphorus	3.28	0.318	F (4.27) = 3.154	0.029
<i>Cryptochironomus</i> sp	conductivity	-2.433	0.235	F (3.28) = 2.879	0.021

4. Discussion

The analysis of environmental variables measured (temperature, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, transparency, pH and nitrate and phosphorus) at the different sampling site of Kodjouboué Lake show no significant variation between sites.

The temperature range recorded in Kodjouboué Lake is between 25.9 ° C and 31.9 ° C, the waters of this lake are relatively warm. Our results corroborate those of Kouamé *et al.*, 2010 on Taabo lake. With regard to dissolved oxygen, the range is between 0.10 and 11.3 mg / l. This range is higher than that obtained in Taabo Lake (3.62 - 9.2 mg / l) by Kouamé *et al.*, 2010. The range of variation in temperature and dissolved oxygen may be related to the lack of vegetation cover on the lake. The water transparency varies between 10 and 218 cm at Kodjouboué Lake. This range is higher compared to that observed (73 and 112 cm) at Ayamé Lake by Diétoa (2002) [14]. Low values of nitrate (0.1 - 2.65 mg / l) and phosphorus (0.015- 0.31 mg / l) were recorded. Nitrate values are higher than those obtained in Lake Taabo (0.05 -1.95 mg / l) and those of phosphorus are low compared to those obtained in the same lake (0.24 - 28.47 µmol/ l) by Kouame *et al.*, 2010. These low nutrient values are due to the fact that lake waters receive very little effluent. In fact, nutrient concentrations in surface waters that are free of human activities are less than 1 mg / l (Meybeck, 1989) [29].

A total of 74 aquatic macroinvertebrate taxa belonging to 37 families, 11 orders and 04 classes were harvested. The taxonomic richness of Lake Kodjouboué was higher than those obtained in other Ivorian's lakes (Kouamé *et al.*, 2010, Kouamé *et al.*, 2011 and Diomandé *et al.*, 2013) [26, 25, 25]. These authors recorded respectively 60, 68, 43 and 29 taxa. This strong taxonomic richness is undoubtedly indicative of low anthropic pressure on this lake. Macroinvertebrate community of Kodjouboué lake is composed mainly of insects that account for 68 of the 74 inventoried taxa, or 91.89%. This strong representativity of the insect group was reported by Diomandé & Gourène (2005) [17] in Ayamé lake. According to Gagnon & Pedneau (2006) [19], the most diverse taxonomic

group among aquatic macroinvertebrates are insects (nearly 95%). Among the insects found, the most diverse order is the order Coleoptera (19 taxa, 8 families) followed Diptera (15 taxa, 7 families) Heteroptera (13 taxa, 8 families) and Ephemeroptera (7 taxa, 5 families). Among insects, the preponderance of the Coleoptera (19 taxa) is explained by the fact that they are the only holometabolous insects to occur in both the imaginal and larval form in aquatic environments. They colonize various habitats when conditions become hostile for other species decreasing interspecific competition (Ben moussa *et al.*, 2014) [1].

In this study, the Shannon-Weaver index calculated ranging between 0.84 (K4) and 2.40 (K3). These results show that the waters had good ecological health. The Pielou's Evenness index calculated for the waters varying from 0.43 (K4) to 0.98 (K3). These results show that the distribution of benthic macroinvertebrates taxa is more or less balanced in Kodjouboué lake. The Shannon-Weaver index and Pielou's Evenness index values are confirmed by those of the Rarefied taxonomic richness with the highest value for the K3 site (16.79) and the lowest for the K4 site (2.47). Association of different indices studied, revealed that most of the sites of Kodjouboué lake have a good ecological status with K3 being the least disturbed station. However, K4 is the most impacted because the low values of these diversity indices are indicators of pollution or disturbance of environmental conditions.

The Shannon-Weaver Index and Pielou's Evenness Index shows significant variation between some sites. However, when there is no bias, the Rarefied taxonomic richness shows that there is no significant difference between the sites. These four sites of Kodjouboué lake would therefore be subject to the same environmental conditions.

The taxa identified as constant are Trombidiformes (*Hydrachna* sp.), Ephemeroptera (*Baetis* sp., *Cloeon* sp.), Odonates (*Pseudagrion* sp.), Heteroptera (*Diplonychus* sp., *Eurymetra* sp.), (*Pseudobagous Longulus*, *Hydrovatus* sp., *Limnius* sp.), Chironomidae (*Polypedilum deletum*, *Dixa* sp.). This same observation was made by Kouamé *et al.* (2011) [26] in Taabo lake. The results of the work of Kouamé *et al.* (2011)

[26] showed that Chironomidae are the only taxa harvested very regularly in all the stations considered. Indeed, Merritt & Cummins (1996) [28] reported that the variation in conditions under which Chironomidae are found is more extensive than that of other groups of aquatic insects. These organisms would thus have a broad spectrum of resilience and morphological, physiological and behavioral adaptations. Based on Sorensen similarity index, the highest similarity was observed between K2 and K4. This relatively high similarity is probably related to the similarity of land use between the two sites.

Multiple Regression step by step indicates that in Kodjoboué lake, only the presence of three taxa was significantly associated with environmental variables. The presence of *Gammarus* sp. is related to the decrease of nitrate, the high concentrations of phosphorus influences the presence of *Urothemis* sp. As for *Cryptochironomus* sp., its presence is linked to high temperature value. Bond & Downes (2003) [2] have shown that the composition and organization of aquatic macroinvertebrate communities is influenced by the physicochemical characteristics of water.

5. Conclusion

This study has made a first inventory of the macroinvertebrate community of Kodjoboué lake. The results for abiotic parameters do not reveal any spatially significant variation.

The taxonomic composition of macroinvertebrates shows the presence of 74 taxa, of which 68 belong to the class of Insects which is the most diversified.

The degree of organization and diversity of Kodjoboué lake macroinvertebrate community was analyzed through The Shannon-Weaver index, Pielou's Evenness index and Rarefied taxonomic richness. K3, with its maximum values of Shannon-Weaver index (2.4), Pielou's Evenness index (0.98) and Rarefied taxonomic richness (16.79), appears as the most diversified site, the most stable and better organized.

Analysis of correlations between physicochemical parameters and macroinvertebrates indicates that the faunal distribution is more influenced by environmental parameters such as nitrate, phosphorus and temperature.

The different indices studied, show that overall, this lake is less disturbed. It is therefore necessary to protect the Kodjoboué lake in order to conserve its biodiversity.

6. Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the PASRES (Strategic Support Program Scientific Research (Côte d'Ivoire), under research project number 110 entitled « Approche écosystémique de la pêche comme moyen d'exploitation durable des ressources halieutiques dans la zone humide de Grand-Bassam » (Ecosystem approach to fisheries as a means of sustainable exploitation of fisheries resources in the Grand-Bassam wetland).

7. References

1. Ben moussa A, Chahlaoui A, Rour E, Chahboune M. Diversité taxonomique et structure de la macrofaune benthique des eaux superficielles de l'oued khoumane. Moulay idriss Zerhoun, Maroc (Taxonomic diversity and structure of benthic macrofauna of surface water of Khoumane River. Moulay idriss Zerhoun, Morocco).
2. Bond NR, Downes BJ. The independent and interactive effects of fine sediment and flow on benthic invertebrate communities characteristic of small upland streams. *Freshwater Biology*. 2003; 48:455-465.
3. Bony YK. Biodiversité et écologie des mollusques gastéropodes d'eau douce en milieu continental ivoirien (bassins de la Mé, de l'Agnéby et du Banco). Traits d'histoire de vie d'une espèce invasive Indoplanorbis exustus (Deshayes, 1834). Thèse de Doctorat de l'Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes, Perpignan, France. 2007; 217.
4. Brou Y. Analyse et dynamique de la pluviométrie en milieu forestier ivoirien. Thèse de Doctorat 3ème cycle. Université de Cocody Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire, 1997, 200.
5. Camara AI, Diomandé D, Bony YK, Ouattara A, Franquet E, Gourène G. Diversity assessment of benthic macroinvertebrate communities in Banco National Park (Banco Stream, Côte d'Ivoire). *African Journal of Ecology*. 2012; 50:205-217.
6. Camara AI, Diomandé D, Gourène G. Impact des eaux usées et de ruissellement sur la biodiversité des macroinvertébrés de la rivière banco (Parc National du Banco ; Côte d'Ivoire). *Revue CAMES*. 2014; 2:58-68.
7. Dajoz R. Précis d'Ecologie. 7ème édition, Dunod, Paris, France, 2000, 615.
8. Darlington RB. Regression and linear models. New York, McGraw-Hill, 1990, 259.
9. Day JA, Stewart BA, Moor IJ. Guide to the Freshwater Invertebrates of Southern Africa. Volume 4: Crustacea III: Bathynellacea, Amphipoda, Isopoda, Spelaeogriphacea, Tanaidacea, Decapoda. Rapport N TT 141/01 Water Research Commission, South Africa, 2001; 141.
10. Day JA, Stewart BA, Moor IJ. Guide to the Freshwater Invertebrates of Southern Africa. Volume 9: Diptera. Rapport N TT Water Research Commission, South Africa, 2003, 200.
11. De Moor IJ, Day JA, Moor FC. Guide to the Freshwater Invertebrates of Southern Africa. Volume 7: Insecta I: Ephemeroptera, Odonata and Plecoptera. Rapport N° TT 207/03 Water Research Commission, South Africa, 2003, 288.
12. Moor IJ, Day JA, Moor FC. Guide to the Freshwater Invertebrates of Southern Africa. Volume 8: Insecta II: Hemiptera, Megaloptera, Neuroptera, Trichoptera and Lepidoptera. Rapport N° TT 214/03 Water Research Commission, South Africa, 2003b, 209.
13. Déjoux C, Elouard JM, Forge P, Malsin J. Catalogue iconographique des insectes aquatiques de Côte d'Ivoire. Rapport ORSTOM. Bouaké, Côte d'Ivoire, 1981, 172.
14. Diétoa YM. Entomofaune et stratégies alimentaires des poissons du genre *Brycinus* (Characidae) en milieux fluviaux et lacustre (Bassin Bia et Agnéby; Côte d'Ivoire). Thèse de Doctorat, Université d'Abob-Adjamé, Côte d'Ivoire, 2002, 261.
15. Diomandé D, Kpai NN, Kouadio KN, Da Costa KS, Gourène G. Spatial distribution and structure of benthic macroinvertebrates in artificial reservoir: Taabo Lake

- (Côte d'Ivoire). International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences. 2013; 7(4):1503-1514.
16. Diomandé D, Bony KY, Edia OE, Konan FK, Gourène G. Diversité des macroinvertébrés benthiques de la rivière Agnéby (Côte d'Ivoire ; Afrique de l'Ouest). European Journal of Scientific Research. 2009; 35(3):368-377.
 17. Diomandé D, Gourène G. Premières données sur la macrofaune benthique de l'hydrosystème fluvio-lacustre de la Bia (Côte d'Ivoire). Sci. Nat. 2005; 2:167-176.
 18. Edia OE, Brosse S, Ouattara A, Gourène G, Winterton P, Lek-Ang S. Aquatic insect assemblage patterns in four West-African coastal rivers. Journal of Biological Sciences. 2007; 77:1130-1138.
 19. Gagnon E, Pedneau J. Surveillance Volontaire (SurVol) Benthos, guide du volontaire, programme de surveillance volontaire des petits cours d'eau. CVRB, Québec, Canada, 2006, 25.
 20. Grall J, Coïc N. Synthèse des méthodes d'évaluation de la qualité du benthos en milieu côtier. REBENT. 2005; 48:90.
 21. Halle B, Bruzon V. Profil environnemental de la Côte d'Ivoire. Rapport final de la Commission Européenne, 2006, 133.
 22. Haouchine S. Recherche sur la faunistique et l'écologie des macroinvertébrés des cours d'eau de Kabylie. Mémoire de Magister en sciences biologiques, Université Mouloud Mammeri de Tizi-ouzou, Alger, Algérie, 2011, 157.
 23. Heino J, Parviainen J, Paavola R, Jehle M, Louhi P, Muotka T. Characterizing macroinvertebrate assemblage structure in relation to stream size and tributary position. Hydrobiologia. 2005; 539:121-130.
 24. Hershey AE, Beat YS, Fortino K, Kelly S, Keyse M, Luecke C, *et al.* Stable isotope signatures of benthic invertebrates in arctic lakes indicated limited coupling to pelagic production. Limnology and Oceanography. 2006; 51:177-188.
 25. Kouamé MK, Diétoa MY, Edia OE, Da Costa SK, Ouattara A, Gourène G. Macroinvertebrate communities associated with macrophyte habitats in a tropical man-made lake (Lake Taabo, Côte d'Ivoire). Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems. 2011; 20(1):1-12.
 26. Kouamé MK, Diétoa MY, Da Costa SK, Edia OE, Ouattara A, Gourène G. Aquatic macroinvertebrate assemblages associated with root masses of water Hyacinths, *Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) Solms-Laubach, 1883 (Commelinales: Pontederiaceae) in Taabo Lake, Ivory Coast. J. Nat. Hist. 2010; 44:257-278.
 27. Kra KM, Camara AI, Edia OE, Konan KM, Dramane D, Ouattara A. Qualitative analysis of aquatic macroinvertebrates in lower comoe river (Côte d'Ivoire). International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies. 2018; 6(2):472-481.
 28. Merritt RW, Cummins KW. An introduction to the aquatic insects of North America. 3rd edition. Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company, Dubuque, IA, USA, 1996, 862.
 29. Meybeck M. The quality of rivers: from pristine stage to global pollution. Palaeogeograph. 1989; 75:283-309.
 30. Moretti MS, Callisto M. Biomonitoring of benthic macroinvertebrates in the middle Doce River watershed. *Acta Limnologica. Brasiliensia*. 2005; 17(3):267-281.
 31. Rodier J, Legube B, Merlet N. L'Analyse de l'Eau. 9^e édition. Dunod: Paris, 2009, 1579.
 32. Sankaré Y. Etude comparative de la macrofaune associée aux racines de *Pistia stratiotes* L. (Araceae) du lac de barrage d'Ayamé II et du fleuve Comoé (Côte d'Ivoire). Journal Ivoirien d'Océanologie et Limnologie. 1991; 1(2):131-138.
 33. Stals R, De Moor IJ. Guides to the Freshwater Invertebrates of Southern Africa. Volume 10: Coleoptera. Rapport N TT 320/07 Water Research Commission, South Africa, 2007, 263.
 34. Stat Soft, Inc. Statistica for windows version 7.1. Data analysis software system, 2005, <http://www.statsoft.com>.
 35. Stevens J. Applied multivariate statistics for the social sciences. Hillsdale, NJ, Erlbaum, 1986, 512.
 36. Tachet H, Richoux P, Bournaud M, Usseglio-Polatera P. Freshwater invertebrates: taxonomy, biology, ecology: CNRS Editions, Paris, 2010.
 37. Yaokokoré-Béibro KH, Douba V, Comité de Ramsar. Fiche descriptive sur les zones humides Ramsar (FDR), 2005, 17.
 38. Younger MS. A first course in linear regression (2nd Ed.). Boston, Duxbury Press, 1985, 256.